

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/750,204	12/28/2000	Donald L. McDaniel	CORE-76	7004
7	7590 05/22/2003 EXAMINER		INER	
Pandiscio & Pandiscio 470 Totten Pond Road Waltham, MA 02451-1914			LYONS, MICHAEL A	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2877	
			DATE MAILED: 05/22/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
•		09/750,204	MCDANIEL ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Michael A. Lyons	2877			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status 1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07</u> .	April 2003	•			
2a)⊠	<u> </u>	nis action is non-final.				
3)	,—		osecution as to the merits is			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
·	Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are rejected.	·				
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	•				
8)[8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)🛛 .	The drawing(s) filed on <u>28 December 2000</u> is/a					
—	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	•	• •			
11)[The proposed drawing correction filed on		oved by the Examiner.			
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.						
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s)						
1) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) of of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)			

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Miller et al (5,838,437).

Regarding claim 1, Miller (Fig. 1 and 2) discloses a broad spectrum reference light source 8, a fixed Fabry-Perot etalon 7 that produces "multiple, very accurately spaced, wavelengths" (Col. 1, line 33), a tunable Fabry-Perot filter 21 that can be swept through its tuning range through variances of its tuning voltage as generated by sweep generator 26, and a zero crossing detector 24.

Regarding claim 2, Miller's device discloses all the claimed elements as discussed above. Therefore, the claimed method can be applied to Miller's device in order to achieve the desired results as Miller's device is a reference system for calibrating optical devices.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 09/750,204

Art Unit: 2877

Claims 3-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Miller et al (5,838,437).

As for claims 3-10, the substitution of the specific light sources and specific differing kinds of etalons for the light source and etalon of Miller's device is well known in the art, and it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make the appropriate substitutions as claimed, as the substitutions would not result in any change to the functionality of the device.

As for claims 11 and 12, the use of interpolation and extrapolation to determine non-experimentally obtained values of an experimental process is well known in the art.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-12 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. The amendment changed the reading of the claims, giving certain language in the original claims patentable distinction that didn't exist originally. This required a new search, which lead to the new rejection given above of the claims.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period

Application/Control Number: 09/750,204

Art Unit: 2877

will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37

CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this

final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Michael A. Lyons whose telephone number is 703-305-1933.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Frank G Font can be reached on 703-308-4877. The fax phone numbers for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-0725 for regular

communications and 703-308-0725 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0935.

MAL

June 1, 2005

Samuel A. Turner

Page 4

Primary Examiner